# YEASTS IN PEATLANDS: A REVIEW OF RICHNESS AND ROLES IN PEAT DECOMPOSITION

Markus N. Thormann<sup>1,3</sup>, Adrianne V. Rice<sup>1,4</sup>, and David W. Beilman<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Natural Resources Canada Canadian Forest Service Northern Forestry Centre 5320 - 122 Street Edmonton, Alberta, T6H 3S5, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Department of Geography 1255 Bunche Hall University of California, Los Angeles Los Angeles, California, USA 90095

<sup>3</sup>Present address: 1925 Tomlinson Way Edmonton, Alberta, T6R 2R5, Canada

> <sup>4</sup>Corresponding author E-mail: arice@nrcan.gc.ca

Abstract: The richness and ecological roles of yeasts in peatlands are largely unknown. This paper presents a review of the literature on yeasts in peatlands and also provides new data with species isolated from peatlands in Saskatchewan, Canada, and West Siberia, Russia. To date, 75 yeast taxa have been reported from peatlands, including 46 identified species and 29 isolates identified only to genus or not at all. This represents 5%–10% of known yeasts and about 10% of all peatland fungi. Cryptococcus, Candida, Pichia, and Rhodotorula are the most prevalent genera, accounting for 58% of known peatland yeast species. We obtained 34 isolates from western Canadian and West Siberian bog and fen peat, including 12 identified species and eight unidentified taxa. Identified taxa comprised mostly species of Candida, *Cryptococcus*, and *Rhodotorula*. Unidentified taxa were described based on physiology and morphology. Globally, more species have been reported from bogs than fens (41 vs. 13 taxa), and the species composition differs between the two peatland classes. The effect of depth within the acrotelm on yeast abundance and species composition varies among peatlands. Physiological profiling of the yeasts from our study showed that they can use (poly)saccharides (primarily D-glucose, maltotriose, n-acetyl glucosamine, trehalose, and sucrose), organic acids (primarily D-gluconic acid, fumaric acid, malic acid, and succinic acid), sugar alcohols (primarily D-arabitol, D-mannitol, and D-sorbitol), glycosides (primarily arbutin and salicin), and amino acids (primarily L-glutamic acid) as carbon and nitrogen sources. Based on these profiles, yeasts likely access simple polymers that leach from senesced and/or dead plant materials in peatlands and probably play important roles during the initial stages of organic matter decomposition.

Key Words: BioLog, bog, carbon, fen, functions, nitrogen, organic matter, Saskatchewan, West Siberia

## INTRODUCTION

Bogs and fens are the dominant wetland classes globally (Gore 1983, National Wetlands Working Group 1988). They are particularly important to the global carbon (C) cycle (Gorham 1991, Roulet 2000), because they accumulate peat, a heterogeneous assemblage of partially decomposed plant materials (about 45%–50% C; Clymo 1983), on annual through millennial time scales. Peat chemistry varies with depth in a peatland and among different peatlands as a result of botanical composition and degree of decomposition (Clymo 1983, Turetsky et al. 2000, Andersen et al. 2006). Peat is decomposed predominantly by fungi in the oxygenated peat horizon (acrotelm) and by bacteria in the anoxic peat horizon (catotelm). The degradation of organic matter is often viewed as a process of facilitation, whereby species of a particular fungal community alter the substrate sufficiently to allow other fungal species to become established and continue the degradation process (Lumley et al. 2001). Such a succession has been shown in various upland (Heilman-Clausen 2001, Lumley et al. 2001) and wetland plant species (Tokumasu 1994, Thormann et al. 2003, 2004). Competition and antagonism within fungal communities are also factors at each stage of decay. Consequently, different fungal communities are responsible for the degradation of the varying components of peat, largely as a result of the differing abilities of fungi to synthesize the enzymes required to degrade organic matter (Thormann et al. 2002).

An array of fungi has been isolated from peatlands over the past century, most of which are saprobic and mycelial in nature (reviews in Thormann 2006a, b, Thormann and Rice 2007). Despite the abundance of yeast cells in peat (Baker 1970, Polyakova et al. 2001), peatland yeasts have received little attention, with fewer than ten studies focusing on yeasts from peatlands and few studies of peatland fungi even attempting to identify them (Thornton 1956, Christensen and Whittingham 1965, Dickinson and Dooley 1967, Latter et al. 1967, Christensen and Cooke 1970, Nilsson et al. 1992, Robson et al. 2004). In this paper, we present a review of the literature on yeasts in peatlands and supplement the literature data with a new study of species isolated from peatlands in Saskatchewan, Canada, and the West Siberia Lowland, Russia.

Yeasts are a ubiquitous polyphyletic group of 700–1,500 recognized species of fungi with varying ascomycetous and basidiomycetous affinities (see Kurtzman and Fell 1998, Suh et al. 2005, Kurtzman and Fell 2006, Kurtzman and Piskur 2006). They are generally unicellular; however, some may form a simple, irregular mycelium. Yeasts have been isolated from nearly all plant surfaces and soils in virtually all geographic locations (e.g., Bab'eva and Chernov 1995, Kurtzman and Fell 2006, Kurtzman and Piskur 2006). Many yeasts are involved in the degradation of organic matter; however, their specific roles during decomposition processes in situ remain poorly understood. The paucity of detailed yeast information from peatlands is likely attributable to the challenges involved in traditional yeast identification, i.e., extensive physiological profiling via growth on a series of substrata to elucidate their ability to use them, along with often limited distinguishing morphological characters among species. Modern molecular techniques (e.g., Kurtzman 2006, Kurtzman and Fell 2006) likely represent the future of yeast identification from peatlands and will undoubtedly uncover many additional taxa; however, these techniques are not without limitations

(Anderson and Cairney 2004, Hambleton and Sigler 2005), particularly in that the generated sequences often remain unidentified, and the taxonomic precision of the identifications is limited by the taxonomic accuracy and phylogenetic breadth of the reference databases. More importantly, these methods preclude further experimental investigation, particularly the description of novel taxa and the elucidation of functional roles, because associated living cultures and voucher specimens are absent (Hambleton and Sigler 2005). In order to explore also their functional roles, we have chosen to use culture-based techniques to identify peatland yeasts. This approach provides phenotypic information on yeast taxa and allows for an elucidation of their functions in ecosystems, since a living specimen exists. Moreover, cladistic analyses, following the application of molecular techniques on cultured specimens, can be employed to determine evolutionary relationships among described yeast taxa. Our goals in this paper are to 1) investigate the species richness of peatland yeasts and explore whether this diversity is greater than previously suggested and 2) elucidate the roles that peatland yeasts may play in organic matter decomposition.

#### **METHODS**

#### Yeast Species Richness – Literature Review

In order to compile information about peatland yeasts, we reviewed reports from mycological and ecological journals, most prominently Mycologia, Mycotaxon, Mycologial Research, Canadian Journal of Botany, Fungi Canadensis, and New Phytologist, as well as Sylloge Fungorum (Saccardo 1882-1931, 1972) and the Index of Fungi (1920-present). Several Russian journals were also reviewed, e.g., Microbiology (Moscow), Ekologiya, and Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Seriya Biologicheskie. A yeast record was defined as an individual unidentified taxon or one that was identified to genus and/or species in a peer-reviewed publication. Therefore, unpublished, mimeographed, and locally distributed reports, herbarium information, anecdotal references, and check lists were not included as record sources.

## Study Sites

We isolated peatland yeasts from study sites located in peatland-rich regions of North America and Asia. The study sites were located in east-central Saskatchewan, Canada (two sites, one bog and one fen), and in the West Siberian Lowland, Russia (12 sites, eight bogs and four fens; Figure 1).



Figure 1. Location of the study sites in Saskatchewan, Canada, and in West Siberia, Russia. Triangles indicate bogs and circles indicate fens.

The Saskatchewan bog was dominated by Picea mariana (Mill.) BSP, ericaceous shrubs (primarily Rhododendron groenlandicum (Oeder) Kron & Judd and Vaccinium vitis-idaea L.), and the bryophytes Hylocomium splendens (Hedw.) BSG, Pleurozium schreberi (Brid.) Mitt., and Sphagnum spp. (primarily Sphagnum fuscum Klinggr.). The Saskatchewan fen was dominated by Larix laricina (Du Roi) K. Koch, Betula pumila L., Salix spp., Andromeda *polifolia* L., and the bryophytes Aulacomnium palustre (Hedw.) Schwaegr., Campylium stellatum (Hedw.) Lange & Jens., and Tomenthypnum nitens (Hedw.) Loeske. The climate of the Saskatchewan sites is characterized by cold, snowy winters and mild summers. The average annual precipitation is 467 mm, while the mean temperatures of the three coldest and three warmest months are -16.2°C (December-January-February) and 14.9°C (June-July-August), respectively (mean annual temperature of 0.4°C; data from the Waskesiu Lake weather station; Environment Canada 2004).

In the West Siberia Lowland, the eight bogs were dominated by *Pinus sylvestris* L., *Ledum palustre* L., *Chamaedaphne calyculata* L., and *Sphagnum* spp. (primarily *S. fuscum* and *S. angustifolium* (Russ.) C. Jens. in Tolf). The four fens were dominated by *Betula pubescens* Ehrh., *Carex* spp., *Menyanthes*  *trifoliata* L., and a number of bryophytes, mainly *Calliergon* spp. and *S. angustifolium*. The climate of West Siberia is highly continental and characterized by cold, snowy winters and mild summers similar to those experienced at the Saskatchewan peatlands. The average annual precipitation is 433–541 mm, while the mean temperatures of the three coldest and three warmest months range from -16.7 to  $-19.6^{\circ}$ C (December–January–February) and from  $15.3-16.7^{\circ}$ C (June–July–August), respectively (the mean annual temperature ranges from -2.1 to  $0.3^{\circ}$ C; data from the Kanty-Mansisk, Aleksandrovskoe, Tobolsk, Kolpashev, and Tara weather stations in the West Siberia Lowland; Frey and Smith 2003).

#### Peat Processing and Yeast Isolation

Peat samples were collected aseptically at each sampling plot at 5 and 25 cm depths in the Saskatchewan sites and at 0–10 and 10–20 cm depths in the Siberian sites, transferred to sterile containers, and transported in a cooler to the laboratory. All samples originated from the acrotelm in each site. A sub-sample of about 5 g (freshweight, randomly selected from each entire peat sample) was surface-sterilized for five min in  $H_2O_2$ and washed three times with sterilized, distilled water (sd-H<sub>2</sub>O) to eliminate surface contaminants before being placed onto primary isolation media. Five segments (each about 2 mm<sup>3</sup>, randomly selected from the sterilized 5 g-sub-samples) were transferred aseptically to each of nine plates of potato dextrose agar (PDA, 39.0 g Difco (Detroit, MI) potato dextrose agar, 1.0 L sd-H<sub>2</sub>O) with or without amendments to isolate basidiomycetes selectively (Worrall 1991). Three plates were amended with Benlate (50% benomyl; B) and three plates were amended with a sterile stock solution containing Benlate, dichloran (2,6-dichloro-4-nitroaniline), and phenol in ethanol (5 mL  $L^{-1}$ ; BDP). The remaining three plates received no additives. Therefore, there were three plates for each of the three isolation media (n = 3 per isolation medium, n = 9 per processed peat sample), and each plate contained five peat segments randomly selected from the sterilized sub-samples (n = 45 peat segments per peat sample). Oxytetracycline was added to the primary isolation medium to suppress bacterial growth. Plates were incubated in the dark at 22°C and examined daily for the first week, every other day for the following four weeks, and every five days for the following three months. Yeasts emerging from the peat segments were subcultured and maintained on malt extract agar (MEA, 15.0 g Difco malt extract, 20.0 g Difco agar, 1.0 L sd-H<sub>2</sub>O). Representative cultures and slides were deposited at the Northern Forestry Centre Culture Collection.

# Yeast Identification

Yeast isolates were identified using the BioLog Yeast MicroPlates. Yeasts were grown on yeast medium (YM, 20.0 g Difco agar, 3.0 g Difco Yeast Extract, 1.0 L sd- $H_2O$ ) in Petri plates for three weeks in the dark at 22°C. Yeast cells were transferred aseptically using sterile cotton swabs from the Petri plates into sd-H<sub>2</sub>O in sterile glass screw-top test tubes (inoculum density  $47 \pm 2\%$ , determined photometrically). The resulting suspension was transferred into a sterile pipette reservoir, and 100  $\mu$ L were pipetted aseptically into each of the 96 wells of the BioLog Yeast MicroPlates. Each plate consisted of 27 unique substrata, 28 substrata that were tested for both oxidation (using tetrazolium violet as a calorimetric indicator of oxidation) and assimilation (scored turbidimetrically), 11 wells with two substrata to test for the co-utilization of various substrata with Dxylose, and two controls (empty wells). Plates were stored in plastic bags (to avoid desiccation) in the dark at 22°C. Absorbance at 590 nm was measured daily for seven days using a BioLog MicroStation 2. Identities were confirmed with published morphological and physiological information for each species. Yeast taxonomy followed Index Fungorum (CABI Science Databases 2007).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

# Global Richness of Yeasts in Peatlands

We suspected that yeasts comprise a significant proportion of the peatland fungal community, which was supported by examination of the literature and by the results of our isolations. Fifty-eight yeast taxa had been reported previously from peatlands, of which 37 were identified to species, nine to genus, and 12 not at all. In our study of peatlands in Saskatchewan and West Siberia, we obtained 12 identified species and eight unidentified yeasts, bringing the total number of yeast taxa reported from peatlands to 75, including 46 species, nine genus-level reports, and 20 unidentified taxa (Table 1). This total (75 taxa) is the result of fewer than 20 studies, but still represents 5%-10% of known yeast species and about 10% of the fungal species isolated from peatlands (710 taxa in total, of which 601 are identified to species; Thormann and Rice 2007). Additional research combining molecular and culture-based techniques would undoubtedly increase this total. Among the identified species, ascomycetous and basidiomycetous yeasts are represented in similar proportions (23 and 22 species, respectively). Most yeast records originate from Asia (52 records, or 69%), with the remaining ones originating from northern Europe and the Americas (24 records, or 31%). This disparity is likely a reflection of the scarcity in peatland yeast research, with most studies having been conducted in Asia (Table 1).

Taxonomically, species of Cryptococcus predominate, with 10 identified species. Species of Candida (eight species), Pichia (five species), and Rhodotorula (four species) are also common (Table 1). Cryptococcus is a large basidiomycete genus with 261 species and varieties (CABI Science Databases 2007). Within the genus, fermentation (the degradation of complex polymers into simpler ones) of sugars is negative, assimilation (uptake) of nitrate is variable, and assimilation of inositol is positive. Cryptococcus species have been isolated from various organic substrates, soil, water, insects, and humans (Barnett et al. 1983). Candida is a large ascomycetous genus with 531 species and varieties (CABI Science Databases 2007). Within the genus Candida, fermentation, nitrate assimilation, and inositol assimilation may be present or absent. Candida is ubiquitous, with species occurring

virtually everywhere, including air, soil, water, organic matter, humans and other mammals, insects, foods, fungi, and industrial effluent (Barnett et al. 1983). The teleomorphic ascomycete genus Pichia (it reproduces sexually) has 200 species and varieties (CABI Science Databases 2007). Pichia species are generally fermentation and nitrate assimilation negative. Pichia species have been isolated from organic matter, soil, water, foods, humans and other mammals, and insects (Barnett et al. 1983). All Pichia records from peatlands originate from Russia. The basidiomycete genus Rhodotorula has 130 species and varieties (CABI Science Databases 2007). Rhodotorula species do not ferment carbohydrates and produce urease enzyme. *Rhodotorula* species have been isolated from air, soil, water, organic matter, humans and other mammals, invertebrates, and foods (Barnett et al. 1983). Many species in the aforementioned genera are generalists, but several novel species have been described from peatlands (e.g., Golubev et al. 1981b), and some of the unidentified taxa likely represent novel species (Polyakova et al. 2001). It is probable that some of these species may have adapted as specialists in peatlands. One of the most interesting yeast species reported in multiple studies from bogs and fens in Canada and Russia is Schizoblastosporion starkeyihenricii. This species is rare and of uncertain taxonomic affinity, and most, if not all, of the published reports of this species are from peatlands (Polyakova et al. 2001), suggesting that it is likely a peatland specialist.

# Yeasts in Peatlands in Saskatchewan and West Siberia

We obtained 34 yeast isolates from peat samples from North America (Saskatchewan) and Asia (West Siberia). These included 14 ascomycetous yeasts (6 spp.), 11 basidiomycetous yeasts (5 spp.), and Schizoblastosporion starkeyi-henricii (Table 2). Most isolates belonged to the genera Candida, Cryptococcus, and Rhodotorula (20 isolates, 59% of all isolates), which coincides well with previous records of these genera (Table 1). Cryptococcus albidus var. aerius was the most frequently isolated yeast (five isolates, 16% of all isolates). Eight isolates could not be identified using the BioLog technique (Table 2), six from Saskatchewan and two from the West Siberia Lowland. Based on colony morphology and physiology, the eight unidentified isolates likely represent eight different species. Most of these were slow growing, produced terminal buds, and simple and elaborate pseudohyphae. Only two isolates, both from the Saskatchewan site, produced no pseudohyphae (yeast spp. 1 and 4). All of the unidentified isolates were ascomycetes (negative diazonium blue B test; Table 3). We did not attempt to name these eight isolates because of the likelihood of false identification. Future use of alternative methods, such as DNA sequencing, may result either in their identification or confirmation of their novelty.

### Yeast Distribution by Peatland Class and by Depth

Yeast species richness and composition varies with peatland type and depth within the acrotelm. Of the 75 yeast taxa reported from peatlands (including our study), 41 were reported only from bogs, 13 only from fens, 12 from both bogs and fens, and the remaining nine from unspecified peatland classes (Table 1). These differences may reflect either more favorable conditions for yeasts in bogs than in fens or sampling bias. Evidence for the former is provided by the depth of the acrotelm in bogs vs. fens. Owing to the position of the water table, the acrotelm in bogs is generally substantially thicker than in fens, where water levels are much closer to the moss/peat surface, and the acrotelm is generally much thinner (e.g., Thormann and Bayley 1997). Since most yeasts are obligate aerobes, bogs would likely provide a more favourable environment for these organisms. Evidence for the latter is provided by comparing literature reports and our study. Among the previous literature reports of yeasts from peatlands, 37 taxa were reported exclusively from bogs, but only a single yeast species was reported exclusively from fens. Contrastingly, we observed the opposite trend in our study, with 15 yeast species recovered from fen peat, four from bog peat, and one species from both, even though we examined more bogs than fens (nine bogs vs. five fens). Hence, isolation protocols can significantly affect the species richness of yeasts from substrata or ecosystems. Conclusions regarding the distribution of yeast species among peatland classes are further complicated by differences in peatland classification and terminology. For example, Polyakova et al. (2001) included both oligotrophic and minerotrophic peatlands as bogs, while this study refers to them as bogs and fens, respectively. Ascomycetes were recovered more frequently than basidiomycetes from bogs and fens; however, basidiomycetes were more common when peatland type was unspecified, and species recovered from both bog and fen habitats tended to be basidiomycetes. Additional study of yeasts from both bogs and fens along with consistent classification of peatland types is required to determine whether these trends reflect real differences in the distribution of ascomycetous and basid-

Yeast taxa	Peatland Type	Country	Reference
Ascomycota			
Candida catenulata Diddens & Lodder	Bog	Canada	1
Candida edax Van der Walt & E.E. Nel	Fen	Russia	1
Candida haemulonis (Uden & Kolip.) S.A. Mey. & Yarrow	Fen	Canada, Russia	1
Candida sake (Saito & M. Ota) Uden & H.R. Buckley ex S.A. Mey. &	Bog	Russia	2
Ahearn	-		
Candida valida (Leberle) Uden & H.R. Buckley ex S.A. Mey. & Ahearn	Bog	Russia	3
Candida vartiovaarae (Capr.) Uden & H.R. Buckley	Bog	Russia	3
Candida zevlanoides (Castell.) Langeron & Guerra	Fen	Canada	1
Candida spp.	Bog. fen	Ireland, Russia	2, 4, 5
Debarvomyces hansenii (Zopf) Lodder & Kreger	Bog. fen	Russia	2. 3. 5
Debaryomyces vanrijiae (Van der Walt & Tscheuschner) Abadie, Pignal & J.L. Jacob	Bog	Russia	3
Hanseniaspora uvarum (Niehaus) Shehata Mrak & Phaff	Bog	Russia	2
Hansenula saturnus var saturnus (Klöcker) anon ined	Bog	Russia	3
Metschnikowia nulcherrima Pitt & M W Mill	Bog	Russia	2
Nadsonia elongata Konok	Bog	Russia	3
Nakaseomyces delphensis (van der Walt & Tscheuschner) Kurtzman	Fen	Canada	1
Pichia cangulata (Wick) Kurtzman	Bog	Russia	2
Pichia inositovora Golubey Blagod Suetin & R.S. Trots	Bog	Russia	3 6
Pichia iadinii (Sartory A Weill R Weill & I Mey) Kurtzman	Bog	Russia	3, 0
Pichia tolatana (Socios, Domirez & Palóez) Kreger	Bog	Pussia	2, 3
Pichia spp	Bog	Pussia	2
Saacharonneas klocekerignus Von der Welt	Bog	Russia	2
Saccharomyces Ribeckertanus Vali dei Walt	Bog	Russia	3
Saccharomyces paradoxus BachRaich.	Bog	Russia	2
Townly com	Dog Dog for	Russia Duccio LIV	3 7 9
Torua spp.	Dog, Ieli	Russia, UK	7, 8
Toruaspora sp.	Bog	Russia	2
$T = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{$	Bog	Russia	3
M.T. Sm.	Bog	Russia	2, 3, 6
<i>Yarrowia lipolytica</i> (Wick., Kurtzman & E.A. Herrm.) Van der Walt & Arx	Fen	Canada	1
Basidiomycota			
Bullera nunicea (Komag & Nakase) Nakase & M. Suzuki	Bog	Russia	2
Cryntococcus gerius (Saito) Nann	Fen	Canada	1
Cryptococcus albidus (Saito) C F. Skinner	Bog	Russia USA	2359
Cryptococcus albidus (Saito) C.E. Skinner	Bog fen	Canada Russia	2, 3, 5, 9
Cryptococcus austriaus Val. usiaus (Salto) C.E. Skillier	Bog, Ich	Pussio	1, 9
Cryptococcus gustricus Releisor & di Menna	Bog	Pussia USA	2
Cryptococcus guvescens Chernov & Babeva	Bog	Russia, USA	2
Cryptococcus hungaricus (Zsoit) Filan & Fen	Dog Dog for	Russia	$2^{2}$
Cryptococcus taurentit (Kull.) C.E. Skilliel	Dog, Iell	Russia	2, 3
Cryptococcus magnus (Lodder & Kreger) Baptist & Kurtzman	Dispectited	Russia	9
<i>Cryptococcus podzoticus</i> (Babeva & Resnetova) Golubev	Bog	Russia	3
Cryptococcus terreus di Menna	Bog	Russia	3
Cryptococcus terricolus 1.A. Pedersen	Bog, Ien	Russia	5
<i>Cryptococcus</i> sp.	Unspecified	Russia	2
Leucosporidium antarcticum Fell, Statzell, I.L. Hunter & Phaff	Bog	Russia	3
Mrakia frigida (Fell, Statzell, I.L. Hunter & Phaff) Y. Yamada & Komag.	Bog	Russia	2
Rhodotorula acheniorum (Buhagiar & J.A. Barnett) Rodr. Mir.	Fen	Canada, Russia	1
Rhodotorula aurantiaca (Saito) Lodder	Bog, fen	Canada, Russia	1, 2
Rhodotorula glutinis (Fresen.) F.C. Harrison	Bog	Russia	3
Rhodotorula mucilaginosa (A. Jörg.) F.C. Harrison	Bog	Russia, USA	2
Rhodotorula sp.	Unspecified	Russia	5
Rhodotorula sp. 1	Bog	Russia	2
Rhodotorula sp. 2	Bog	Russia	2

Table 1. Yeast species from peatlands identified from the literature and from the present study.

Yeast taxa	Peatland Type	Country	Reference
Sporidiobolus salmonicolor Fell & Tallman	Unspecified	Russia	9
Sporobolomyces roseus Kluyver & C.B. Niel	Bog	Russia	2
Trichosporon inkin (Oho) Carmo Souza & Uden	Fen	Russia	1
Trichosporon pullulans (Lindner) Diddens & Lodder	Unspecified	Russia	2, 9
Insertae Sedis			
Schizoblastosporion starkeyi-henricii Cif.	Bog, fen	Canada, Russia	1, 2
Unidentified taxa			
Yeast (brown)	Unspecified	Argentina	10
Yeast (white)	Unspecified	Argentina	10
Yeast (yellow)	Unspecified	Argentina	10
Yeast sp. 1	Bog	USA	11
Yeast sp. 1	Fen	Canada	1
Yeast sp. 2	Bog	USA	11
Yeast sp. 2	Fen	Canada	1
Yeast sp. 3	Fen	Canada	1
Yeast sp. 4	Fen	Canada	1
Yeast sp. 5	Bog	Canada	1
Yeast sp. 6	Bog	Canada	1
Yeast sp. 7	Bog	Russia	1
Yeast sp. 8	Fen	Russia	1
Yeast spp.	Bog	Canada	12
Yeast spp.	Bog	U.K.	13
Yeast spp.	Unspecified	U.K.	8
Yeast type A	Bog, fen	Sweden	14
Yeast type B	Bog, fen	Sweden	14
Yeast type C	Bog, fen	Sweden	14
Yeast type D	Bog, fen	Sweden	14

#### Table 1. Continued.

References: 1 = Thormann et al. (this study), 2 = Polyakova et al. (2001), 3 = Golubev et al. (1981b), 4 = Dickinson and Dooley (1967), 5 = Zvyagintsev et al. (1991), 6 = Golubev et al. (1981a), 7 = Golovchenko et al. (2002), 8 = Thornton (1956), 9 = Golubev (1986), 10 = Robson et al. (2004), 11 = Christensen and Whittingham (1965), 12 = Christensen and Cooke (1970), 13 = Latter et al. (1967), 14 = Nilsson et al. (1992).

iomycetous yeasts or are just a result of sampling limitations or bias. Future research is also necessary to determine whether individual taxa are adapted to specific peatland types; however, the relatively small number of species recovered from both bogs and fens suggests that most species are specialists.

Previous researchers have found some contradictory trends in yeast abundance: either the greatest abundance at the surface and decreasing abundance with increasing depth (Baker 1970), or greatest abundance in the middle and deeper horizons (Golubev et al. 1981a, Polyakova et al. 2001). Our results suggest that these seemingly contradictory observations could be explained by variation among peatlands. We found that yeast abundance, as indicated by number of isolates obtained, was substantially higher at 25 cm than at 5 cm depths in the Saskatchewan peatlands (15 vs. nine taxa), but was similar at both peat depths in the West Siberia peatlands (four vs. six taxa; Table 4). Differences in species composition with depth were also observed, with 14 of the 20 taxa recovered from either surface or deeper peat, but not both (Table 2). Only one species, Candida zeylanoides, was recovered from multiple depths in the same area of the same peatland (Table 2). Despite the observed differences in abundance and species composition with peat depth in the two peatlands, species richness was the same in both surface and deeper peat in both Siberia and Saskatchewan (13 taxa at each depth; Table 2). These results are consistent with the trends observed by Polyakova et al. (2001) in Russia, who found differences in abundance and species composition but not species richness with peat depth. Unfortunately, our present data are too limited for multivariate statistical analyses to investigate community differences by site, type, or depth; neither correspondence analysis nor non-metric multidimensional scaling yielded meaningful or stable results.

## Roles of Yeasts in Peat Decomposition

Decomposition is a three-step process, which begins with leaching of soluble organic matter, is

			Isolation information			
Yeast taxon	NOF No.	Peatland	Depth (cm)	Isolation Medium		
Ascomycota						
Candida catenulata	2906	OBS, Saskatchewan	5	PDA + BDP		
Candida edax	2907	Fen 4, Siberia, Russia	10-20	PDA + B		
Candida haemulonis	2917	SF-N, Saskatchewan	25	PDA + BDP		
		SF-N, Saskatchewan	25	PDA + BDP		
	2918	Fen 4, Siberia, Russia	0-10	PDA + BDP		
	2919	Fen 4, Siberia, Russia	0-10	PDA + BDP		
	2920	Fen 4, Siberia, Russia	0-10	PDA + BDP		
Candida zeylanoides	2914	SF-N, Saskatchewan	5	PDA + BDP		
	2915	SF-N, Saskatchewan	25	PDA + RB		
	2916	SF, Saskatchewan	25	PDA + B		
Nakaseomyces delphensis	2908	SF-N, Saskatchewan	5	PDA + BDP		
Yarrowia lipolytica	2910	SF-N, Saskatchewan	25	PDA + B		
	2911	SF, Saskatchewan	5	PDA + BDP		
Basidiomycota						
Cryptococcus aerius	2921	SF-N, Saskatchewan	25	PDA + BDP		
	2922	SF-N, Saskatchewan	25	PDA + BDP		
	2923	SF-N, Saskatchewan	25	PDA + B		
	2924	SF-N, Saskatchewan	25	PDA + B		
	2925	SF-N, Saskatchewan	25	PDA + RB		
Cryptococcus albidus var. albidus	2928	OBS, Saskatchewan	5	PDA + BDP		
	2929	Fen 3, Siberia, Russia	10-20	PDA + RB		
Rhodotorula acheniorum	2912	SF-N, Saskatchewan	25	PDA + BDP		
	2913	Fen 4, Siberia, Russia	0-10	PDA + B		
Rhodotorula aurantiaca	2926	SF-N, Saskatchewan	5	PDA + BDP		
	2927	Fen 3, Siberia, Russia	0-10	PDA + B		
Trichosporon inkin	2933	Fen 3, Siberia, Russia	10-20	PDA + BDP		
Insertae Sedis						
Schizoblastosporion starkeyi-henricii	2909	SF, Saskatchewan	25	PDA + RB		
Unidentified taxa						
Yeast sp. 1	2931	SF, Saskatchewan	5	PDA + B		
Yeast sp. 2	2937	SF, Saskatchewan	5	PDA + B		
Yeast sp. 3	2932	SF, Saskatchewan	25	PDA + BDP		
Yeast sp. 4	2935	SF, Saskatchewan	25	PDA + BDP		
Yeast sp. 5	2936	OBS, Saskatchewan	5	PDA + B		
Yeast sp. 6	2905	OBS, Saskatchewan	25	PDA + BDP		
Yeast sp. 7	2938	Bog 2, Siberia, Russia	0-10	PDA + B		
Yeast sp. 8	2934	Fen 4, Siberia, Russia	10-20	PDA + B		

Table 2. Yeasts isolated from peatlands in Saskatchewan, Canada, and West Siberia, Russia.

Note: OBS = Old Black Spruce, SF-N = Sedge Fen-North; PDA = potato dextrose agar, B = benomyl, RB= rose bengal, BDP = benomyl, dichloran, and phenol; NOF = Northern Forestry Centre Culture Collection.

followed by mass losses due to the assimilation of organic matter by microbial and animal communities, and is concluded with the loss of the physical structure and changes in the chemical constituents of the remaining organic matter (Brinson et al. 1981, Clymo 1983). Organic matter becomes structurally more complex as it decomposes, because simpler molecules are preferentially degraded during the early stages of decomposition. This progressive change in chemical constituents over the course of decomposition can result in a succession of fungi in decomposing organic matter, based on the ability of different fungi to synthesize various enzymes, and hence degrade organic substrata of different complexities. Deacon (1997) identified five behavioral groups of fungi involved in the degradation of organic matter. Weak pathogens and parasites (1) precede pioneer saprobes (2), which are followed by simple polymer degraders (3), and subsequently complex polymer degraders (4). Secondary saprobes (5) also occur during the latter

Yeast isolate	Morphology and colony description
Yeast sp. 1	moist, cream colonies; slow growing; terminal budding; no filaments; DBB negative
Yeast sp. 2	moist, light-orange colonies; slow growing; terminal budding; simple and elaborate pseudohyphae; DBB negative
Yeast sp. 3	dry, cream colonies; slow growing; terminal budding; simple and elaborate pseudohyphae; DBB negative
Yeast sp. 4	dry, light-orange colonies; slow growing; terminal budding; no filaments; DBB negative
Yeast sp. 5	moist, cream colonies; fast growing; terminal budding; simple and elaborate pseudohyphae; DBB negative
Yeast sp. 6	dry, cream colonies; slow growing; lateral budding; simple and elaborate pseudohyphae; DBB negative
Yeast sp. 7	dry, cream colonies; slow growing; no budding observed; simple and elaborate pseudohyphae; DBB negative
Yeast sp. 8	dry, cream colonies; slow growing; terminal budding; simple pseudohyphae; DBB negative

Table 3. Morphology and colony descriptions of 8 unknown yeast species from peatlands in Saskatchewan, Canada, and West Siberia, Russia.

Note: Growth rate was assessed at  $22^{\circ}$ C, DBB = diazonium blue B.

stages of decomposition, using metabolic by-products generated by polymer degrading fungi (Deacon 1997). Fungi from all five behavioral groups have been isolated from peatlands (e.g., Thormann et al. 2004); however, clear fungal succession patterns are not always observed (Thormann et al. 2003). In the only known study of yeast succession dynamics, Chernov (1985) documented a shift in fungal succession in decomposing plant matter in the Russian tundra. He observed yeast dominance during the early stages of decomposition (part of the pioneer saprobe community), but as readily soluble carbohydrates became limited, complex polymer-degrading filamentous fungi replaced the initial yeast community and continued the decomposition process. He also observed a second community of yeasts (part of the secondary saprobe community), which was unable to use complex polymers, but presumably used some of the metabolic by-products generated by filamentous fungi (Chernov 1985).

Although in vitro physiological profiles and assays do not document realized ecological niches of individual species or prove the functional diversity of an entire community, they indicate the potential functional diversity of the community (Dobranic and Zak 1999, Preston-Mafham et al. 2002, Fisk et al. 2003, Sobek and Zak 2003) and are a useful tool to elucidate the possible roles of individual species within a consortium (Hobbie et al. 2003, Rice and Currah 2005, Rice et al. 2006). All the yeasts isolated in our study have the ability to metabolize various simple and complex sugars, organic acids, sugar alcohols, glycosides, and amino acids. More specifically, saccharides like maltose, maltotriose, glucose, sucrose, trehalose, and galactose are used by many of the yeasts, as are various sugar alcohols, including

arabitol, mannitol, sorbitol, glycerol, and maltitol (Tables 5, 6). Many of these carbohydrates are either storage products (e.g., mannitol) or metabolic compounds (e.g., glucose) and are most susceptible to leaching following senescence or death of a plant cell. In addition, the organic acids gluconic acid, fumaric acid, and malic acid were readily used by the 34 yeast isolates (Tables 5, 6). Several of these acids are intermediates in metabolic pathways in plant tissues and are also susceptible to leaching following cell death. As a group, these chemically simple carbohydrates occur in northern bog and fen surface peats in concentrations that can reach up to 100 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, or about 10% of organic matter by mass (Williams et al. 1998, Turetsky et al. 2000). Consequently, the ability of yeasts to use these simple carbohydrates suggests their potential as active participants during the initial stages of peat decomposition, when these compounds are being rapidly metabolized. In contrast, complex polymers, such as cellulose and polyphenolic polymers, cannot be degraded by yeasts (Barnett et al. 1983, Chernov 1985), which generally precludes yeasts from the latter stages of decomposition except as secondary saprobes. Thus, we did not examine the ability of the yeasts in this study to degrade complex polymers.

Table 4. Distribution of the 34 yeast isolates in this study by peatland class and by depth from study sites in Saskatchewan, Canada, and West Siberia, Russia.

Peatland	Saskate	chewan	West Siberia		
classes	5 cm	25 cm	0–10 cm	10-20 cm	
Bogs	3	1	1	0	
Fens	6	14	5	4	

Table 5. Summary of physiological profiles of yeast species identified from peatlands in Saskatchewan, Canada, and West Siberia, Russia. Numbers in columns 3 and 4 indicate the number of identified yeasts able to use the specific carbon and nitrogen substrata.

Amino acidsL-Aspartic acid3No testL-Glutamic acid1118L-Proline2No testGlycosidesAmygdalinNo test1ArbutinNo test1ArbutinNo test7Salicin18LipidsTween 80147Organic2-Keto-D-GluconicNo test1acidacid1No test1acidacidNo test11Bromosuccinic acidNo test1910Formic acid1No test18Malic acidNo test18Matic acidNo test19Formic acid19No testSaccharidesAdonitolNo test3ArabinoseNo test310D-GlucosamineNo test5D-Glucose714D-Melezitose14D-Melezitose14D-Raffinose15D-RiboseNo test6D-RyloseNo test6D-RiboseNo test1D-Raffinose19Inulin20L-RhamnoseNo test1D-Glucosamine19Inulin20L-Rhamnose19Inulin21Adatose213Maltoriose19Sucrose11D-Sorbitol <td< th=""><th></th><th>Substrata</th><th>Fermentation</th><th>Growth</th></td<>		Substrata	Fermentation	Growth
L-Glutamic acid 11 18 L-Proline 2 No test Amygdalin No test 1 Arbutin No test 7 Salicin 1 8 Lipids Tween 80 14 7 Organic 2-Keto-D-Gluconic No test 1 acid alpha-Ketoglutaric No test 1 acid Bromosuccinic acid No test 1 D-Gluconic acid No test 19 Formic acid No test 19 Formic acid No test 18 Malic acid No test 18 Matic acid No test 3 Cellobiose 1 9 No test 3 Cellobiose 1 9 D-Glucosamine No test 3 Cellobiose 1 9 D-Glucose 7 14 D-Glucose 7 14 D-Melezitose 1 6 D-Psicose 1 9 No test 6 D-Psicose 1 9 Inulin 2 0 L-Rhamnose No test 6 D-Nylose No test 6 D-Nylose No test 6 D-Nylose No test 1 D-Raffinose 1 5 D-Ribose No test 1 Maltoriose 1 9 Inulin 2 0 L-Rhamnose No test 1 Maltoriose 1 9 Inulin 2 Maltoriose 1 9 Inulin 2 Glucosamine 7 Hatanose No test 1 Maltoriose 1 9 Inulin 2 Maltoriose 1 9 Stachyose 1 0 Sugar D-Arabitol 17 18 alcohols D-Mannitol 19 21 D-Sorbitol 19 Turanose 1 Maltitol No test 12 Xylitol 1 5	Amino acids	L-Aspartic acid	3	No test
L-Proline 2 No test Glycosides Amygdalin No test 1 Arbutin No test 7 Salicin 1 8 Lipids Tween 80 14 7 Organic 2-Keto-D-Gluconic No test 1 acid alpha-Ketoglutaric No test 1 acid Bromosuccinic acid No test 1 D-Gluconic acid No test 19 Formic acid No test 18 Malic acid No test 18 Methyl Succinate 1 0 Succinic acid 19 No test 3 Arabinose No test 3 Cellobiose 1 9 D-Glucose 7 14 D-Glucose 7 14 D-Glucose 1 6 D-Glucose 1 6 D-Glucose 1 9 Dextrin 4 5 D-Glucose 1 9 Dextrin 4 5 D-Glucose 1 4 D-Melezitose 1 6 D-Psicose 1 6 D-Psicose 1 6 D-Nylose No test 6 D-Nylose No test 6 D-Nylose No test 6 D-Nylose No test 1 D-Raffinose 1 9 Inulin 2 0 L-Rhamnose No test 1 Maltorios 1 9 Inulin 2 0 L-Rhamnose No test 1 Maltorios 1 9 Stachyose 1 0 Stachyose 1 10 Stachyose 10 Stachyose 10 Stachyose 10 Stachyose 10		L-Glutamic acid	11	18
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		L-Proline	2	No test
ArbutinNo test7Salicin18LipidsTween 80147Organic2-Keto-D-GluconicNo test1acidsacidalpha-KetoglutaricNo test1acidalpha-KetoglutaricNo test1acidBromosuccinic acidNo test1D-Gluconic acidNo test19Formic acid1No test18Malic acidNo test18Malic acidNo test18Malic acidNo test3AdonitolNo test3Cellobiose19Dextrin45D-Glucosa714D-Melezitose16D-Psicose161D-Raffinose15D-Raffinose15D-RiboseNo test6D-XyloseNo test1Maltoriose19Inulin20Lexorbose11Maltose213Maltoriose19Stachyose12SugarD-Arabitol17Nationi1921D-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test1	Glycosides	Amygdalin	No test	1
Salicin18LipidsTween 80147Organic2-Keto-D-GluconicNo test1acidalpha-KetoglutaricNo test1acidBromosuccinic acidNo test1Bromosuccinic acidNo test1D-Gluconic acidNo test19Formic acidNo test18Malic acidNo test18Malic acidNo test18Matic acidNo test3Cellobiose19Destrin45D-GlucosamineNo test3Cellobiose14D-Glucose714D-Melezitose14D-Glucose14D-Glucose14D-Glucose14D-Glucose14D-Melezitose16D-Psicose161D-Raffinose19Inulin20L-RhamnoseNo test1Maltoriese414n-Acetyl-D-1819Glucosamine517Turanose110SugarD-Arabitol1718alcoholsD-Mannitol1921D-Sorbitol191718alcoholsD-Mannitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test1		Arbutin	No test	7
Lipids Organic acidsTween 80147Organic acids2-Keto-D-Gluconic acidNo test1acidalpha-Ketoglutaric acidNo test1acidBromosuccinic acidNo test1Bromosuccinic acidNo test19Formic acid1No test18Malic acidNo test18Malic acidNo test18Matic acidNo test18Matic acid19No testSaccharidesAdonitolNo test3ArabinoseNo test3Cellobiose19Dextrin45D-Galactose310D-GlucosamineNo test5D-Glucose714D-Melezitose14D-Ralfinose15D-RiboseNo test6D-XyloseNo test6Gentiobiose19Inulin20L-RhamnoseNo test1Maltotriose414n-Acetyl-D-1819Glucosamine19Stachyose12SugarD-Arabitol17alcoholsD-Mannitol1921D-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i-Erythritol</i> No test1Maltotri1917MaltitolNo test1		Salicin	1	8
Organic acids2-Keto-D-Gluconic acidNo test1acidalpha-Ketoglutaric acidNo test1Bromosuccinic acidNo test1D-Gluconic acidNo test19Formic acid1No test18Malic acidNo test18Malic acidNo test18Malic acidNo test18Malic acidNo test19SaccharidesAdonitolNo testArabinoseNo test3Cellobiose19Dextrin45D-Glucose714D-Melezitose16D-Psicose161D-Raffinose15D-RiboseNo test6D-XyloseNo test6D-XyloseNo test1Maltotriose414n-Acetyl-D-1819Glucosamine12Sucrose11Maltotriose414n-Acetyl-D-1819Glucosamine213Maltotriose12Sucrose12Sucrose110SugarD-Arabitol17BalcoholsD-Mannitol19D-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test1	Lipids	Tween 80	14	7
alpha-Ketoglutaric No test 1 acid Bromosuccinic acid No test 1 D-Gluconic acid No test 19 Formic acid 1 No test 19 Formic acid 1 No test 18 Malic acid No test 18 Matic acid 19 No test 3 Methyl Succinate 1 0 Succinic acid 19 No test 3 Arabinose No test 3 Cellobiose 1 9 Dextrin 4 5 D-Galactose 3 10 D-Glucosamine No test 5 D-Glucose 7 14 D-Meležitose 1 4 D-Meležitose 1 6 D-Psicose 16 1 D-Raffinose 1 5 D-Ribose No test 6 Gentiobiose 1 9 Inulin 2 0 L-Rhamnose No test 1 L-Sorbose 1 1 Maltoriose 4 14 n-Acetyl-D- 18 Maltoriose 1 9 Stachyose 1 0 Sucrose 6 16 Trehalose 5 17 Turanose 1 0 Sugar D-Arabitol 17 18 alcohols D-Mannitol 19 21 D-Sorbitol 19 17 Glycerol 12 14 <i>i</i> -Erythritol No test 1 Maltitol No test 1	Organic	2-Keto-D-Gluconic	No test	1
Bromosuccinic acidNo test1D-Gluconic acidNo test19Formic acid1No testFumaric acidNo test18Malic acidNo test18Malic acid19No testSuccinic acid19No testSaccharidesAdonitolNo test3ArabinoseNo test3Cellobiose19Dextrin45D-Galactose310D-GlucosamineNo test5D-Glucose714D-Melezitose16D-Psicose161D-Raffinose15D-RiboseNo test6Gentiobiose19Inulin20L-RhamnoseNo test1Maltotriose414n-Acetyl-D-1819Glucosamine19Stachyose12SugarD-Arabitol17AlcoholsD-Mannitol1921D-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test1	ucius	alpha-Ketoglutaric acid	No test	1
D-Gluconic acidNo test19Formic acid1No test18Malic acidNo test18Malic acidNo test18Methyl Succinate10Succinic acid19No testSaccharidesAdonitolNo test3ArabinoseNo test3Cellobiose19Dextrin45D-Galactose310D-GlucosamineNo test5D-Glucose714D-Melezitose16D-Psicose161D-Raffinose15D-RiboseNo test6D-XyloseNo test1Maltoriose19Inulin20L-RhamnoseNo test1Maltotriose11Maltotriose19Stachyose12SugarD-Arabitol17Arabitol1718Jo-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test1		Bromosuccinic acid	No test	1
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		D-Gluconic acid	No test	19
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Formic acid	1	No test
Malic acidNo test18Methyl Succinate10Succinic acid19No testSaccharidesAdonitolNo test3ArabinoseNo test3Cellobiose19Dextrin45D-Galactose310D-GlucosamineNo test5D-Glucose714D-Melezitose16D-Psicose161D-Ralfinose15D-RiboseNo test6D-XyloseNo test6Gentiobiose19Inulin20L-RhamnoseNo test1Maltotriose414n-Acetyl-D-1819Glucosamine12SugarD-Arabitol17Natiose517Turanose110SugarD-Arabitol19D-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test1		Fumaric acid	No test	18
Methyl Succinate10Succinic acid19No test3SaccharidesAdonitolNo test3ArabinoseNo test3Cellobiose19Dextrin45D-Galactose310D-GlucosamineNo test5D-Glucose714D-Melezitose14D-Melibiose16D-Psicose161D-RiboseNo test6D-XyloseNo test6Gentiobiose19Inulin20L-RhamnoseNo test1Maltotriose414n-Acetyl-D-1819Glucosamine91Palatinose12SugarD-Arabitol17No1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo12Xylitol15		Malic acid	No test	18
Succinic acid19No testSaccharidesAdonitolNo test3ArabinoseNo test3Cellobiose19Dextrin45D-Galactose310D-GlucosamineNo test5D-Glucose714D-Melezitose14D-Melezitose14D-Raffinose15D-RiboseNo test6D-XyloseNo test6Gentiobiose19Inulin20L-RhamnoseNo test1Maltoriose414n-Acetyl-D-1819Glucosamine718Palatinose517Turanose110SugarD-Arabitol17Arbitol1718alcoholsD-Mannitol19D-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test1MaltitolNo test1		Methyl Succinate	1	0
SaccharidesAdonitolNo test3ArabinoseNo test3Cellobiose19Dextrin45D-Galactose310D-GlucosamineNo test5D-Glucose714D-Melezitose14D-Melezitose16D-Psicose161D-Raffinose15D-RiboseNo test6D-XyloseNo test6Gentiobiose19Inulin20L-RhamnoseNo test1Maltoriose414n-Acetyl-D-1819Glucosamine718Palatinose517Turanose110SugarD-Arabitol17No test119J-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test1Maltitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test1MaltitolNo test1		Succinic acid	19	No test
ArabinoseNo test3Cellobiose19Dextrin45D-Galactose310D-GlucosamineNo test5D-Glucose714D-Melezitose14D-Melibiose16D-Psicose161D-Raffinose15D-RiboseNo test6D-XyloseNo test6Gentiobiose19Inulin20L-RhamnoseNo test1L-Sorbose11Maltotriose414n-Acetyl-D-1819Glucosamine9Stachyose12SugarD-Arabitol17IsalcoholsD-Mannitol1921D-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test1	Saccharides	Adonitol	No test	3
Cellobiose19Dextrin45D-Galactose310D-GlucosamineNo test5D-Glucose714D-Melezitose14D-Melibiose16D-Psicose161D-Raffinose15D-RiboseNo test6D-XyloseNo test6Gentiobiose19Inulin20L-RhamnoseNo test1Maltose213Maltotriose414n-Acetyl-D-1819Glucosamine9Stachyose12SugarD-Arabitol17D-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test1MaltitolNo test1		Arabinose	No test	3
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Cellobiose	1	9
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Dextrin	4	5
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} D-Glucosamine & No test & 5\\ D-Glucose & 7 & 14\\ D-Melezitose & 1 & 4\\ D-Melibiose & 1 & 6\\ D-Psicose & 16 & 1\\ D-Raffinose & 1 & 5\\ D-Ribose & No test & 6\\ D-Xylose & No test & 6\\ Gentiobiose & 1 & 9\\ Inulin & 2 & 0\\ L-Rhamnose & No test & 1\\ L-Sorbose & 1 & 1\\ Maltose & 2 & 13\\ Maltotriose & 4 & 14\\ n-Acetyl-D- & 18 & 19\\ Glucosamine & & \\ Palatinose & 1 & 9\\ Stachyose & 1 & 2\\ Sucrose & 6 & 16\\ Trehalose & 5 & 17\\ Turanose & 1 & 10\\ Sugar & D-Arabitol & 17 & 18\\ alcohols & D-Mannitol & 19 & 21\\ D-Sorbitol & 19 & 17\\ Glycerol & 12 & 14\\ i-Erythritol & No test & 1\\ Maltiol & No test & 1\\ Maltiol & No test & 1\\ Maltiol & No test & 1\\ \end{array}$		D-Galactose	3	10
$\begin{array}{c ccccccc} D-Glucose & 7 & 14 \\ D-Melezitose & 1 & 4 \\ D-Melibiose & 1 & 6 \\ D-Psicose & 16 & 1 \\ D-Raffinose & 1 & 5 \\ D-Ribose & No test & 6 \\ D-Xylose & No test & 6 \\ Gentiobiose & 1 & 9 \\ Inulin & 2 & 0 \\ L-Rhamnose & No test & 1 \\ L-Sorbose & 1 & 1 \\ Maltose & 2 & 13 \\ Maltotriose & 4 & 14 \\ n-Acetyl-D- & 18 & 19 \\ Glucosamine & & \\ Palatinose & 1 & 9 \\ Stachyose & 1 & 2 \\ Sucrose & 6 & 16 \\ Trehalose & 5 & 17 \\ Turanose & 1 & 10 \\ Sugar & D-Arabitol & 17 & 18 \\ alcohols & D-Mannitol & 19 & 21 \\ D-Sorbitol & 19 & 17 \\ Glycerol & 12 & 14 \\ i-Erythritol & No test & 1 \\ Maltiol & No test & 1 \\ Maltiol & No test & 1 \\ Maltiol & No test & 1 \\ \end{array}$		D-Glucosamine	No test	5
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		D-Glucose	7	14
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} D-Melibiose & 1 & 6 \\ D-Psicose & 16 & 1 \\ D-Raffinose & 1 & 5 \\ D-Ribose & No test & 6 \\ D-Xylose & No test & 6 \\ Gentiobiose & 1 & 9 \\ Inulin & 2 & 0 \\ L-Rhamnose & No test & 1 \\ L-Sorbose & 1 & 1 \\ Maltose & 2 & 13 \\ Maltotriose & 4 & 14 \\ n-Acetyl-D- & 18 & 19 \\ Glucosamine & & \\ Palatinose & 1 & 9 \\ Stachyose & 1 & 2 \\ Sucrose & 6 & 16 \\ Trehalose & 5 & 17 \\ Turanose & 1 & 10 \\ Sugar & D-Arabitol & 17 & 18 \\ alcohols & D-Mannitol & 19 & 21 \\ D-Sorbitol & 19 & 17 \\ Glycerol & 12 & 14 \\ i-Erythritol & No test & 1 \\ Maltiol & No test & 1 \\ Maltiol & No test & 1 \\ \end{array}$		D-Melezitose	1	4
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} D-Psicose & 16 & 1 \\ D-Raffinose & 1 & 5 \\ D-Ribose & No test & 6 \\ D-Xylose & No test & 6 \\ Gentiobiose & 1 & 9 \\ Inulin & 2 & 0 \\ L-Rhamnose & No test & 1 \\ L-Sorbose & 1 & 1 \\ Maltose & 2 & 13 \\ Maltotriose & 4 & 14 \\ n-Acetyl-D- & 18 & 19 \\ Glucosamine & & \\ Palatinose & 1 & 9 \\ Stachyose & 1 & 2 \\ Sucrose & 6 & 16 \\ Trehalose & 5 & 17 \\ Turanose & 1 & 10 \\ Sugar & D-Arabitol & 17 & 18 \\ alcohols & D-Mannitol & 19 & 21 \\ D-Sorbitol & 19 & 17 \\ Glycerol & 12 & 14 \\ i-Erythritol & No test & 1 \\ Maltiol & No test & 1 \\ Maltiol & No test & 12 \\ Xylitol & 1 & 5 \\ \end{array}$		D-Melibiose	1	6
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		D-Psicose	16	1
$\begin{array}{c cccccc} D-Ribose & No test & 6\\ D-Xylose & No test & 6\\ Gentiobiose & 1 & 9\\ Inulin & 2 & 0\\ L-Rhamnose & No test & 1\\ L-Sorbose & 1 & 1\\ Maltose & 2 & 13\\ Maltotriose & 4 & 14\\ n-Acetyl-D- & 18 & 19\\ Glucosamine & & \\ Palatinose & 1 & 9\\ Stachyose & 1 & 2\\ Sucrose & 6 & 16\\ Trehalose & 5 & 17\\ Turanose & 1 & 10\\ Sugar & D-Arabitol & 17 & 18\\ alcohols & D-Mannitol & 19 & 21\\ D-Sorbitol & 19 & 17\\ Glycerol & 12 & 14\\ i-Erythritol & No test & 1\\ Maltitol & No test & 1\\ Maltitol & No test & 12\\ Xylitol & 1 & 5\\ \end{array}$		D-Raffinose	1	5
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		D-Ribose	No test	6
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		D-Xylose	No test	6
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Gentiobiose	1	9
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Inulin	2	0
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} L-Sorbose & 1 & 1 \\ Maltose & 2 & 13 \\ Maltotriose & 4 & 14 \\ n-Acetyl-D- & 18 & 19 \\ Glucosamine & & & \\ Palatinose & 1 & 9 \\ Stachyose & 1 & 2 \\ Sucrose & 6 & 16 \\ Trehalose & 5 & 17 \\ Turanose & 1 & 10 \\ Sugar & D-Arabitol & 17 & 18 \\ alcohols & D-Mannitol & 19 & 21 \\ D-Sorbitol & 19 & 17 \\ Glycerol & 12 & 14 \\ i-Erythritol & No test & 1 \\ Maltitol & No test & 12 \\ Xylitol & 1 & 5 \\ \end{array}$		L-Rhamnose	No test	1
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		L-Sorbose	1	1
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Maltose	2	13
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} n-Acetyl-D- & 18 & 19\\ Glucosamine & & & \\ Palatinose & 1 & 9\\ Stachyose & 1 & 2\\ Sucrose & 6 & 16\\ Trehalose & 5 & 17\\ Turanose & 1 & 10\\ Sugar & D-Arabitol & 17 & 18\\ alcohols & D-Mannitol & 19 & 21\\ D-Sorbitol & 19 & 17\\ Glycerol & 12 & 14\\ i-Erythritol & No test & 1\\ Maltitol & No test & 12\\ Xylitol & 1 & 5\\ \end{array}$		Maltotriose	4	14
GlucosaminePalatinose19Stachyose12Sucrose616Trehalose517Turanose110SugarD-Arabitol1718alcoholsD-Mannitol1921D-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test12Xylitol15		n-Acetyl-D-	18	19
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Palatinose & 1 & 9\\ Stachyose & 1 & 2\\ Sucrose & 6 & 16\\ Trehalose & 5 & 17\\ Turanose & 1 & 10\\ Sugar & D-Arabitol & 17 & 18\\ alcohols & D-Mannitol & 19 & 21\\ D-Sorbitol & 19 & 17\\ Glycerol & 12 & 14\\ i-Erythritol & No test & 1\\ Maltitol & No test & 12\\ Xylitol & 1 & 5\\ \end{array}$		Glucosamine		
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Stachyose & 1 & 2\\ Sucrose & 6 & 16\\ Trehalose & 5 & 17\\ Turanose & 1 & 10\\ Sugar & D-Arabitol & 17 & 18\\ alcohols & D-Mannitol & 19 & 21\\ D-Sorbitol & 19 & 17\\ Glycerol & 12 & 14\\ i-Erythritol & No test & 1\\ Maltitol & No test & 12\\ Xylitol & 1 & 5\\ \end{array}$		Palatinose	1	9
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} Sucrose & 6 & 16 \\ Trehalose & 5 & 17 \\ Turanose & 1 & 10 \\ Sugar & D-Arabitol & 17 & 18 \\ alcohols & D-Mannitol & 19 & 21 \\ D-Sorbitol & 19 & 17 \\ Glycerol & 12 & 14 \\ i-Erythritol & No test & 1 \\ Maltitol & No test & 12 \\ Xylitol & 1 & 5 \\ \end{array} $		Stachyose	1	2
Trehalose517Turanose110SugarD-Arabitol1718alcoholsD-Mannitol1921D-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test12Xylitol15		Sucrose	6	16
Turanose110SugarD-Arabitol1718alcoholsD-Mannitol1921D-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test12Xylitol15		Trehalose	5	17
SugarD-Arabitol1718alcoholsD-Mannitol1921D-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test12Xylitol15		Turanose	1	10
alcoholsD-Mannitol1921D-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test12Xylitol15	Sugar	D-Arabitol	17	18
D-Sorbitol1917Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test12Xylitol15	alcohols	D-Mannitol	19	21
Glycerol1214 <i>i</i> -ErythritolNo test1MaltitolNo test12Xylitol15		D-Sorbitol	19	17
<i>i</i> -Erythritol No test 1 Maltitol No test 12 Xylitol 1 5		Glycerol	12	14
MaltitolNo test12Xylitol15		i-Erythritol	No test	1
Xylitol 1 5		Maltitol	No test	12
		Xylitol	1	5

It has been shown that most organic matter decomposes at a negative exponential rate in peatlands, indicating relatively high mass losses during the early stages of decomposition, shortly after plant senescence or death (up to 10% in bogs and 30% in fens during the first 50 days; Thormann et al. 2001). These initial mass losses have been ascribed previously to various species of Acremonium, Botrytis, Cladosporium, Mortierella, Mucor, Penicillium, and *Verticillium*, which tend to predominate during the early stages of decomposition of peatland vascular and non-vascular plants (Thormann et al. 2003). Interestingly, yeasts are rarely considered important saprobes in peatlands. Nonetheless, based on our data and the abundance of yeast cells in peat (Baker 1970, Polyakova et al. 2001), yeasts may play significant roles during the first 50 days of decomposition. Along with zygomycetes, which also have a proclivity for sugars and simple polymers, yeasts may account for a large proportion of the total mass losses of peatland plants immediately following plant senescence or death. Although this suggestion is speculative, peatland yeasts may be important competitors for metabolic compounds and storage products that leach out of senesced and dead plant matter due to their mode of reproduction and ability to disperse rapidly in wet soils. It is not possible to isolate selectively any group of microorganism to assess their roles in any ecological process, such as decomposition. Consequently, the role of yeasts, a polyphyletic group of fungi, by themselves in the decomposition process of peat remains speculative. Clearly, there is a need to further examine the roles of yeasts in peatland C and N dynamics.

Physiological tests using the BioLog Yeast Micro-Plates showed varying profiles for the eight unidentified isolates (Table 6). Yeast sp. 1 was the most limited in its ability to use any of the C and N substrata, metabolizing only glutamic acid, inulin, and Tween 80. The other seven isolates used more of the C and N substrata; however, while they generally grew on them, few substrata were actually metabolized and broken down. Of these, Tween 80 was most commonly metabolized by the isolates. Similar to the identified yeasts, these eight taxa are also most likely involved during the early stages of organic matter decomposition.

Using the BioLog method to identify our yeast isolates and assess their functional diversity has its limitations, since positive identifications are dependent on existing profiles in the BioLog data base; however, we are confident that our identifications are correct. Following the BioLog protocol, we confirmed the identity of each yeast isolate with published morphological and functional descrip-

	Yeast species							
Substrata	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Amino acid								
L-Glutamic acid	Μ					G		
Glycosides								
Arbutin		G		G				
Salicin		G		G		G, M		G
Lipid								
Tween 80	Μ	G		G, M		Μ	G, M	G, M
Saccharides								
Adonitol			G					
Cellobiose		G		G	G			G
Dextrin		G	G					G
D-Galactose		G	G	G	М			
D-Glucosamine		G	G	G				G
D-Glucose		Ğ	Ğ	Ğ	G	G		Ğ
D-Melezitose		0	Ğ	0	Ğ	Ŭ		Ŭ
D-Melibiose		G	U		Ğ			М
D-Raffinose		G		G	0			
D-Ribose		0	G	0				
D-Xvlose			G					
Gentiobiose		G	G	G				G
Inulin	М	G	G	0			G	GM
L-Sorbose	101	0	0			G	0	M
Maltose		GM	G	G		0		G
Maltotriose		0, 141	G	G	G			G
N-A cetyl-D-Glucosamine		G	U	G	U			G
Trabalasa		U		U	G			G
Sugar alashala					U			U
D Arabital			G					
D-Alabitol			G			G		
D-Mainintoi			C			U		
D-Solbitol			G					C
Glycerol			C					G
		C	G					
		G	G					
D Change and A				C				C
D-Gluconic acid			C	G				G
			G	G				
L-Maile acid			G	G				
Methyl Succinate			G	C			м	
Succinic acid				G			M	
Combinations			C			C		
N-Acetyl-L-Glutamic acid + D-Xylose		G	G			G		C
Dextrin $+$ D-Xylose		G	G	G		G		G
D-Glucuronic acid + D-Xylose				G		G		
Guinic acid $+$ D-Xylose					~	G		
D-Melibiose + D-Xylose			~		G			
Methyl Succinate + D-Xylose			G					
1,2-Propanediol + D-Xylose						G		

Table 6. Physiological profiles of 8 unknown yeast species from peatlands in Saskatchewan, Canada, and West Siberia, Russia. G= growth on substrate, M= metabolism of substrate.

tions. We encountered some functional differences from published data for all yeast species in our study, which can be attributed to natural strain variation, sample preparation, and culturing conditions.

## CONCLUSIONS

Yeasts have been largely under-represented in surveys of fungi in peatlands. The 75 yeast taxa reported to date from peatlands include 46 identified known yeast species as well as about 10% of fungi known from peatlands, thus, supporting our hypothesis that yeast richness in peatlands is higher than previously suggested. The paucity of studies on peatland yeasts further indicates that it is premature to suggest that they are taxonomically limited, even though only a few genera (Cryptococcus, Candida, *Pichia*, and *Rhodotorula*) represent over half of all known species of yeasts from these ecosystems. As a group, yeasts are involved in the degradation of mostly simple polymers, including sugars, alcohols, and amino acids, and they appear to play minor or no roles in the degradation of complex polymers, such as cellulose, pectin, and lignin and its derivatives. Hence, saprobic yeasts are likely restricted to roles as pioneer or secondary saprobes on senesced and dead plant matter, including peat, lending support to our hypothesis that they are involved in the early stages of peat decomposition. The relative abundance of yeast cells in peat suggests that they are potentially important, but generally underappreciated, players during the early stages of peat decomposition. Given that much of the mass losses of peat occur during these initial stages, it is plausible that significant mass losses of peat observed during these early stages of decomposition can be attributed in part to yeasts.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We thank Lisa Blanchard, YMCA Student Intern, for laboratory assistance, and Ruth Errington, Northern Forestry Centre, and Dr. Susan Crow, Oregon State University, for field assistance. We also thank Dr. Ken Mallett, Brenda Laishley (both Northern Forestry Centre), Prof. Randy Currah (University of Alberta), and 2 anonymous reviewers for comments on a previous version of this manuscript. This project was funded by the Canadian Forest Service, Natural Resources Canada, and the National Science Foundation, USA.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- Andersen, R., A.-J. Francez, and L. Rochefort. 2006. The physicochemical and microbiological status of a restored bog in Québec: identification of relevant criteria to monitor success. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 38:1375-87.
- Anderson, I. C. and J. W. G. Cairney. 2004. Diversity and ecology of soil fungal communities: increased understanding through the application of molecular techniques. Environmental Microbiology 6:769-79.
- Bab'eva, I. P. and I. Yu. Chernov. 1995. Geographic aspects of yeast ecology. Physiology and General Biology Reviews 9:1-54.
- Baker, J. H. 1970. Quantitative study of yeasts and bacteria in a Signy Island peat. British Antarctic Service Bulletin 23:51-55.

- Barnett, J. A., R. W. Payne, and D. Yarrow. 1983. Yeasts: Characteristics and Identification. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Brinson, M. M., A. E. Lugo, and S. Brown. 1981. Primary productivity, decomposition and consumer activity in freshwater wetlands. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 12:123-61.
- Chernov, I. Y. 1985. Synecological analysis of yeast groupings in the Taimyr tundra. Ekologiya 1:54-60.
- Clymo, R. S. 1983. Peat. p. 159-224. In A. J. P. Gore (ed.) Ecosystems of the World 4A, Mires: Swamp, Bog, Fen, and Moor. Elsevier, New York, NY, USA.
- Christensen, P. J. and F. D. Cook. 1970. The microbiology of Alberta muskeg. Canadian Journal of Soil Science 50: 171 - 78.
- Christensen, M. and W. F. Whittingham. 1965. The soil microfungi in open bogs and conifer swamps in Wisconsin. Mycologia 57:882-89.
- Deacon, J. W. 1997. Modern Mycology, third edition. Blackwell, Boston, MA, USA.
- Dickinson, C. H. and M. J. Dooley. 1967. The microbiology of cut-away peat. I. descriptive ecology. Plant and Soil 27:172-86.
- Dobranic, J. K. and J. C. Zak. 1999. A microtiter plate procedure for evaluating fungal functional diversity. Mycologia 91: 756-65.
- Environment Canada. 2004. Canadian Climate Normals 1971-2000. http://www.climate.weatheroffice.ec.gc.ca/climate\_normals
- Fisk, M. C., K. F. Ruether, and J. B. Yavitt. 2003. Microbial activity and functional composition among northern peatland ecosystems. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 35:591-602.
- Frey, K. E. and L. C. Smith. 2003. Recent temperature and precipitation increases in West Siberia and their association with the Arctic Oscillation. Polar Research 22:287-300.
- Golovchenko, A. V., T. A. Semenova, A. V. Polyakova, and L. I. Inisheva. 2002. The structure of the micromycete complexes of oligotrophic peat deposits in the southern Taiga subzone of west Siberia. Microbiology 71:575-81.
- Golubev, V. I. 1986. Yeasts of the arctic west Siberian tundra. Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Seriya Biologicheskie 4:609-12. [in Russian, English summary]
- Golubev, V. I., V. M. Blagodatskaya, A. R. Manukyan, and O. L. Liss. 1981a. Yeast microflora of peats. Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Seriya Biologicheskie 2:181-86. [in Russian, English summary]
- Golubev, V. I., V. M. Blagodatskaya, S. O. Suetin, and R. Sh. Trotsenko. 1981b. Pichia inositovora and Candida paludigena, two new species of yeasts isolated from peat. International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology 31:91-96.
- Gore, A. J. P. 1983. Ecosystems of the World 4B. Mire: Swamp, Bog, Fen, and Moor. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Gorham, E. 1991. Northern peatlands: role in the carbon cycle and probable responses to climatic warming. Ecological Applications 1:182-95.
- Hambleton, S. and L. Sigler. 2005. Meliniomyces, a new anamorph genus for root-associated fungi with phylogenetic affinities to Rhizoscyphus ericae (= Hymenoscyphus ericae), Leotiomyces. Studies in Mycology 53:1-27.
- Heilman-Clausen, J. 2001. A gradient analysis of communities of macrofungi and slime molds on decaying beech logs. Mycological Research 195:575-96.
- Hobbie, E. A., L. S. Watrud, S. Maggard, T. Shiroyama, and P. T. Rygiewicz. 2003. Carbohydrate use and assimilation by litter and soil fungi assessed by carbon isotopes and Biolog assays. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 35:303-11.
- Index Fungorum. 2007. CABI Science Databases, CBS, Landcare Research. www.indexfungorum.org
- Kurtzman, C. P. 2006. Yeast species recognition from gene sequence analyses and other molecular methods. Mycoscience 47:65–71.

- Kurtzman, C. P. and J. W. Fell. 1998. The Yeasts: A Taxonomic Study, fourth edition. Elsevier Scientific Publishing Company, Amsterdam, The Netherlands.
- Kurtzman, C. P. and J. W. Fell. 2006. Yeast systematics and phylogeny – implications of molecular identification methods for studies in ecology. p. 11–30, *In C. A. Rosa and G. Peter* (eds.) Biodiversity and Ecophysiology of Yeasts. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- Kurtzman, C. P. and J. Piskur. 2006. Taxonomy and phylogenetic diversity among the yeasts. p. 29–46, *In* P. Sunnerhagen and J. Piskur (eds.) Comparative Genomics: Using Fungi as Models, Volume 15. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- Latter, P. M., J. B. Cragg, and O. W. Heal. 1967. Comparative studies on the microbiology of four moorland soils in the northern Pennines. Journal of Ecology 55:445–64.
- Lumley, T. C., L. D. Gignac, and R. S. Currah. 2001. Microfungus communities of white spruce and trembling aspen logs at different stages of decay in disturbed and undisturbed sites in the boreal mixedwood region of Alberta. Canadian Journal of Botany 79:76–92.
- National Wetlands Working Group. 1988. Wetlands of Canada. Ecological Land Classification Series, No. 24. Sustainable Development Branch, Environment Canada, Ottawa, ON.and Poly Science Publications, Inc., Montréal, QC, Canada.
- Nilsson, M., E. Bååth, and B. Söderström. 1992. The microfungal communities of a mixed mire in northern Sweden. Canadian Journal of Botany 70:272–76.
- Polyakova, A. V., I. Yu. Chernov, and N. S. Panikov. 2001. Yeast diversity in hydromorphic soils with reference to a grass-*Sphagnum* wetland in western Siberia and a hummocky tundra region at Cape Barrow (Alaska). Microbiology 70:617–22.
- Preston-Mafham, J., L. Boddy, and P. F. Randerson. 2002. Analysis of microbial community functional diversity using sole-carbon-source utilization profiles – a critique. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 42:1–14.
- Rice, A. V. and R. S. Currah. 2005. *Oidiodendron*: a survey of the named species and related anamorphs of *Myxotrichum*. Studies in Mycology 53:83–120.
- Rice, A. V., R. S. Currah, and A. Tsuneda. 2006. *In vitro* decomposition of *Sphagnum* by some microfungi resembles white-rot of wood. FEMS Microbiology Ecology 56:372–82.
- Robson, T. M., V. A. Pancotto, C. L. Ballaré, O. E. Sala, A. L. Scopel, and M. M. Caldwell. 2004. Reduction of solar UV-B mediates changes in the *Sphagnum* capitulum microenvironment and the peatland microfungal community. Oecologia 140:480–90.
- Roulet, N. T. 2000. Peatlands, carbon storage, greenhouse gases, and the Kyoto Protocol: prospects and significance to Canada. Wetlands 20:605–15.
- Sobek, E. A. and J. C. Zak. 2003. The Soil FungiLog procedure: method and analytical approaches toward understanding fungal functional diversity. Mycologia 95:590–602.

- Suh, S.-O., J. V. McHugh, D. D. Pollock, and M. Blackwell. 2005. The beetle gut: a hyperdiverse source of novel yeasts. Mycological Research 109:261–65.
- Thormann, M. N. 2006a. The role of fungi in decomposition dynamics in peatlands. p. 101–23, *In* R. K. Wieder and D. H. Vitt (eds.) Boreal Peatland Ecosystems. Ecological Studies, Volume 188. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- Thormann, M. N. 2006b. Diversity and function of fungi in peatlands: a carbon cycling perspective. Canadian Journal of Soil Science 86:281–93.
- Thormann, M. N. and S. E. Bayley. 1997. Aboveground plant production and nutrient content of the vegetation in six peatlands in Alberta, Canada. Plant Ecology 131:1–16.
- Thormann, M. N., S. E. Bayley, and R. S. Currah. 2001. Comparison of decomposition of belowground and aboveground plant litters in peatlands of boreal Alberta, Canada. Canadian Journal of Botany 79:9–22.
- Thormann, M. N., R. S. Currah, and S. E. Bayley. 2002. The relative ability of fungi from *Sphagnum fuscum* to decompose selected carbon sources. Canadian Journal of Microbiology 48:204–11.
- Thormann, M. N., R. S. Currah, and S. E. Bayley. 2003. Succession of microfungal assemblages in decomposing peatland plants. Plant and Soil 250:323–33.
- Thormann, M. N., R. S. Currah, and S. E. Bayley. 2004. Patterns of distribution of microfungi in decomposing bog and fen plants. Canadian Journal of Botany 82:710–20.
- Thormann, M. N. and A. V. Rice. 2007. Fungi in peatlands. Fungal Diversity 24:241–99.
- Thornton, R. H. 1956. Fungi occurring in mixed oakwood and heath soil profiles. Transactions of the British Mycological Society 39:485–94.
- Tokumasu, S. 1994. Trophodynamic structure of a swampy bog at the climax stage of limnological succession III. filamentous fungi associated with the standing leaves of *Typha latifolia*. Water Air and Soil Pollution 76:491–99.
- Turetsky, M. R., R. K. Wieder, C. J. Williams, and D. H. Vitt. 2000. Organic matter accumulation, peat chemistry, and permafrost melting in peatlands of boreal Alberta. Écoscience 7:379–92.
- Williams, C. J., J. B. Yavitt, N. L. Cleavitt, and R. K. Wieder. 1998. Cupric oxide oxidation products of northern peat and peat-forming plants. Canadian Journal of Botany 76:51–62.
- Worrall, J. J. 1991. Media for selective isolation of hymenomycetes. Mycologia 83:296–302.
- Zvyagintsev, D. G., T. G. Dobrovol'skaya, A. V. Golovchenko, G. M. Zenova, and M. V. Smagina. 1991. The structure of a saprotrophic microbial complex in the peat-bogs. Microbiology (Moscow) 60:155–64. [in Russian].

Manuscript received 19 December 2006; accepted 29 May 2007.